

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 28, 2011
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S197169 B228781 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 **PACK (RYAN) v. S.C. (CITY OF LONG BEACH)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 8, 2012.

S197248 H035246 Sixth Appellate District **FRESH EXPRESS, INC. v. BEAZLEY SYNDICATE 2623/623 AT LLOYD'S**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 2, 2012.

S197650 A129401 First Appellate District, Div. 4 **TOMRA PACIFIC, INC. v. CHIANG (JOHN)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to January 30, 2012.

S197687 C065812 Third Appellate District **PANAKOSTA PARTNERS LP v. HAMMER LANE MANAGEMENT LLC**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 1, 2012.

S197743 A128278 First Appellate District, Div. 4 **PEOPLE v. COOLEY (JOSHUA BRYAN)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 2, 2012.

S197752 H037033 Sixth Appellate District **COHEN (BERLINER) v. S.C. (CORBALIS)**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to February 2, 2012.

S049626**PEOPLE v. HAJEK
(STEPHEN EDWARD) & VO
(LOI TAN)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Doron Weinberg's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Loi Tan Vo's reply brief by February 22, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 22, 2012. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S056766**PEOPLE v. LEON (RICHARD)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Stacy S. Schwartz's representation that she anticipates filing the respondent's brief by May 31, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 23, 2012. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 100 additional days are contemplated.

S080276**PEOPLE v. NG (CHARLES
CHITAT)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Kenneth N. Sokoler's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by April 21, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 21, 2012. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S092410**PEOPLE v. NIEVES (SANDI
DAWN)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Amitai Schwartz's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by April 28, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 27, 2012. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S096809**PEOPLE v. POYNTON
(RICHARD JAMES)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Carla J. Johnson's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by March 15, 2012, counsel's request for an

extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 17, 2012. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S113421**PEOPLE v. HARDY
(WARREN JUSTIN)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Susan K. Shaler's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by June 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 29, 2012. After that date, only eight further extensions totaling about 480 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S118384**PEOPLE v. MELENDEZ
(ANGELO MICHAEL)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Saor E. Stetler's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by April 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 20, 2012. After that date, only seven further extensions totaling about 420 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S131819**PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS
(GEORGE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to February 21, 2012.

S143531**PEOPLE v. LEON (JOSE
LUIS)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to February 27, 2012.

S193938 D056619 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. PARK (AARON SUNG-UK)**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to January 23, 2012.

No further extension of time is contemplated.

S194928 C066601 Third Appellate District **MAGNESS (CHRISTOPHER) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to January 23, 2012.

S195192 **McDANIEL (JON BRUCE) ON H.C.**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to January 21, 2012.

S198508 B229653 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **HERNANDEZ (FLORENTINA) v. KIEFERLE (PATRICIA CLAUDINE)**

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to January 5, 2012.

S198532 **CORELLEONE (ARCHILLES) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District.

S198678 **PHILLIPS (BARBARA) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)**

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition,

the repetitious petition must be denied.

S189038**DILL ON DISCIPLINE**

Probation revoked

The court orders that the probation of SUSAN L. DILL, State Bar Number 132607, is revoked.

The court further orders that:

1. SUSAN L. DILL is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of two years, and she will remain suspended until the following requirement is satisfied:
 - i. She must provide proof to the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before her suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
2. SUSAN L. DILL is given credit towards the two years suspension for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment which commenced on October 2, 2011.

SUSAN L. DILL must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S189736**BLACKBURN ON
DISCIPLINE**

Probation revoked

The court orders that the probation of JENNIFER FAY BLACKBURN, State Bar Number 214781, is revoked. The court further orders that Jennifer Fay Blackburn is suspended from the practice of law for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. JENNIFER FAY BLACKBURN is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of her probation;
2. JENNIFER FAY BLACKBURN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 29, 2011; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JENNIFER FAY BLACKBURN has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JENNIFER FAY BLACKBURN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section

6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S197192**BRAVOS ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JAMES WILLIAM BRAVOS, State Bar Number 138097, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

JAMES WILLIAM BRAVOS must make restitution as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on August 8, 2011. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

JAMES WILLIAM BRAVOS s must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S197196**FONG ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that WAYNE MARTIN FONG, State Bar Number 158172, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

WAYNE MARTIN FONG must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S197198**HORNSBY ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that SUSAN LUCILLE HORNSBY, State Bar Number 216920, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

SUSAN LUCILLE HORNSBY must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S197205**O'BRIEN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MICHAEL JUDE O'BRIEN, State Bar Number 147414, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. MICHAEL JUDE O'BRIEN is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation;
2. MICHAEL JUDE O'BRIEN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on April 21, 2011; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if MICHAEL JUDE O'BRIEN has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

MICHAEL JUDE O'BRIEN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

MICHAEL JUDE O'BRIEN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S197208**OPEL ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that PAUL FREDERICK OPEL, State Bar Number 101874, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for four years subject to the following conditions:

1. PAUL FREDERICK OPEL is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. He must provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
2. PAUL FREDERICK OPEL must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 8, 2011.
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if PAUL FREDERICK OPEL has complied with all conditions of probation, the three-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied

and that suspension will be terminated.

PAUL FREDERICK OPEL must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S197210**PINNOCK ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that THEODORE ARTHUR PINNOCK, State Bar Number 153434, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

1. THEODORE ARTHUR PINNOCK is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
2. THEODORE ARTHUR PINNOCK must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on August 30, 2011; and
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if THEODORE ARTHUR PINNOCK has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

THEODORE ARTHUR PINNOCK must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

THEODORE ARTHUR PINNOCK must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S197212**WOO ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that CORECIA JOY WOO, State Bar Number 214544, is suspended from the practice of law in California for five years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for five years subject to the following conditions:

1. CORECIA JOY WOO is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of five years of

probation (with credit given for the period of inactive enrollment, which commenced on October 16, 2008), and she will remain suspended until the following requirement is satisfied:

- i. CORECIA JOY WOO must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before her suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
2. CORECIA JOY WOO must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on August 24, 2011.
3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if CORECIA JOY WOO has complied with all conditions of probation, the five-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

CORECIA JOY WOO must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of her suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

CORECIA JOY WOO must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

**BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE
OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA
FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,007)**

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)

**SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA
ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR
SPECIAL SESSION — SAN FRANCISCO
JANUARY 10, 2012**

The following case is placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for oral argument at a Special Session at its courtroom in the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on Tuesday, January 10, 2012.

TUESDAY, JANUARY 10, 2012 — 9:00 A.M.

S198387 Julie Vandermost v. Debra Bowen, as Secretary of State, etc. (Citizens Redistricting Commission, Intervener)

Chief Justice

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)